

## *At Least 55 Undeclared Chemical Elements Found in COVID-19 Vaccines from AstraZeneca, CanSino, Moderna, Pfizer, Sinopharm and Sputnik V, with Precise ICP-MS<sup>1</sup>*

Lorena Diblasi, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Martín Monteverde, MD<sup>2</sup>, David Nonis, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Marcela Sangorrín, PhD<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Biotechnologist, Facultad de Bioquímica, Química y Farmacia, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Argentina

<sup>2</sup> Physician, MD, Colegio Médico de Santa Fe, Argentina

<sup>3</sup> Molecular and Cellular Biologist, PhD, California, USA

<sup>4</sup> Biologist, PhD, PROBIEN (Instituto de Investigación y Desarrollo en Ingeniería de Procesos, Biotecnología y Energías Alternativas) — CONICET-UNCO, Neuquén, Argentina. [sangorrin.marcela@gmail.com](mailto:sangorrin.marcela@gmail.com) ORCID <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7372-8359>

### Abstract

The experimental vaccines supposedly invented to combat COVID-19 were coercively forced upon the global population beginning late in 2020. They have precipitated innumerable and varied disease conditions ranging from mild to lethal. This increase in health disorders and sudden deaths began to manifest concomitantly with the number of people inoculated and doses administered per person. By the end of 2023, 24 undeclared chemical elements had been detected by Scanning Electron Microscopy Coupled with Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (SEM-EDX), in the COVID-19 vaccines of the different brands, by various research groups from different countries around the world. In this paper, we report laboratory results from high precision Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) that confirm and expand previous results by SEM-EDX. To this end, the contents of vials from different lots of the brands AstraZeneca/Oxford, CanSino Biologics, Pfizer/BioNTech, Sinopharm, Moderna and Sputnik V were analyzed. Among the undeclared chemical elements 12 of the 15 cytotoxic lanthanides used in electronic devices and optogenetics were detected. In addition, among the undeclared elements were all 11 of the heavy metals: chromium was found in 100% of the samples; arsenic 82%; nickel 59%; cobalt and copper 47%; tin 41%; thallium (24%), cadmium, lead and manganese in 18%; and mercury in 6%. A total of 55 undeclared chemical elements were found and quantified with ICP-MS. Combining these findings with results from SEM-

---

<sup>1</sup> **Editor's Note:** A version of this paper by the same authors has appeared in Spanish on ResearchGate as “Análisis por ICP-MS de "vacunas" contra "COVID-19" de AstraZeneca, CanSino, Moderna, Pfizer, Sinopharm y Sputnik: 55 elementos químicos no declarados. The current version has been edited for format, peer-reviewed, and updated.

EDX, altogether 62 undeclared chemical elements have been found in the various products. In all brands, we found boron, calcium, titanium, arsenic, nickel, chromium, copper, gallium, strontium, niobium, molybdenum, barium, and hafnium. With ICP-MS, we found that the content of the samples is heterogeneous, the elemental composition varies in different aliquots extracted from the same vial.

**Keywords:** *adverse effects, AstraZeneca, BioNTech, CanSino Biologics, Comirnaty, COVID-19 vaccines, Covishield, ICP-MS, Sinopharm, Covilo, lanthanides, Moderna, nanotechnology, Pfizer, SEM-EDX, Spikevax, Sputnik V, undeclared chemical elements.*

## 1. Introduction

Shortly after the massive and globally extended vaccination campaign that began in late 2020 and early 2021 — with the aim of preventing symptoms that had formerly always been associated with flu symptoms and that, for reasons still not clarified, were designated as COVID-19 — a large number of people affected by a variety of health disorders around the world began to emerge incrementally. Among them were deaths of millions of people all occurring in parallel with the increasing doses of COVID-19 “vaccines” being injected into the world’s population (Beattie, 2021; Servín de la Mora Godinez, 2023a, 2023b; Rancourt, Baudin & Mercier, 2023b). In a recent study on mortality rates in 17 countries in the southern hemisphere, including our own Argentina, taking all age groups in these countries together, an increase in the mortality rate of  $0.126 \pm 0.004\%$  was found. Such a rise in mortality which would imply that  $17.0 \pm 0.5$  million deaths have in fact occurred due to the injection of more than 13.5 billion doses by September 2, 2023 of the strange new injectables. All of this amounts to a worldwide **iatrogenic event** — the kind caused by clinicians supposedly trying to make things better — that has already killed  $0.213 \pm 0.006\%$  of the world’s population (1 death per every 470 living people) and all of this has occurred in less than 3 years. During that same time the ineffectiveness of the injections has become evident, because they did not prevent any deaths (Rancourt, Baudin, Hickey & Mercier, 2023a). In the meantime, an alarming and growing number of adverse reactions associated with the COVID-19 vaccines, have been recorded in several databases of adverse effects of vaccines around the world. Among them, a flawed but nonetheless indicative system, is the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) of the United States (Open VAERS, 2024; for its gross underreporting of injuries, see research by Lazarus et al., 2010).

The different companies and institutes that have been manufacturing and distributing the COVID-19 vaccines claim that their products are based on recombinant DNA technologies, such as synthetic messenger RNA, or viral particles with a specific genetic load, except for Sinopharm, where an inactivated virus is declared (Maldonado, 2022). Curiously, such technologies as those contained in the messenger RNA and recombinant DNA products had never been used in humans, let alone had they ever been applied to the world population. Therefore, when the aggressive inoculation campaigns began, the extent of the toxicity and its effectiveness were unknown, due to its experimental nature. The speed with which they were approved obviously entails a lack of clinical trials and adequate quality controls. Ferguson et al. of the Imperial College London, on March 16, 2020, just five days after the World Health Organization announced the worldwide “pandemic” of SARS-CoV-2, predicted that by August 2020 “in an unmitigated epidemic, we would predict approximately 510,000 deaths in GB [Great Britain] and 2.2 million in the US” and they said further “even if all patients were able to be treated, . . . there would still be in the order of 250,000 deaths in GB, and 1.1-1.2 million in the US”. However, study of the worldwide mortality statistics showed that the disease and death toll for COVID-19 was comparable to prior flu seasons up to the rollout

of the COVID-19 vaccines that began in December 2020 (Beattie, 2021; Servín de la Mora Godinez, 2023a, 2023b; Rancourt, Baudin & Mercier, 2023b). After that rollout began, the fear of Seneff and Nigh (2021) that the vaccines would be “Worse than the Disease” was borne out. Just after the rollout, and especially with the boosters, as Beattie, Rancourt, and others would show, death rates greatly increased worldwide and did reach into the millions.

What can possibly be causing the long list of symptoms and clinical morbidities of extreme diversity that have followed the worldwide distribution of the COVID-19 injectable products? The list includes fulminant cancers, autoimmune disorders, bilateral pneumonias, arrhythmias, hepatitis flare ups, kidney failures, aggressive forms of arthritis, thrombosis, thrombocytopenia, heart disease, strokes, paralysis of various sorts, spontaneous abortions, perinatal deaths, infertility reported on a wide scale, neurodegenerative diseases, and many other debilitating and life-threatening conditions (Page et al., 2021; Simpson et al., 2021; McKean & Chircop, 2021; Chantra et al., 2021; Dulcey-Sarmiento et al., 2022; Nyström & Hammarström, 2022; Martínez et al., 2022; Schwab, et al., 2023; Santiago & Oller, 2023; Pérez et al., 2023; Mead, et al., 2024a, 2024b; Hulscher et al., 2024).

Strikingly, the symptoms often involve comorbidities that had never been seen until after the administration of COVID-19 vaccines (personal communication with Youngmi Lee, MD, in Korea; also see her recent papers with Broudy in this journal; Lee & Broudy, 2024a, 2024b). However, in spite of the extreme gravity of the situation world-wide, only halting and piecemeal steps have been taken to address it. Among them, the pharmaceutical company Pfizer, in a trial presided over by Judge Mark T. Pittman in the United States, was forced to declassify documents detailing at least 1,291 adverse events that were formerly undisclosed (GlobalResearch, 2023).

Likewise, in Uruguay, the judiciary demanded that the national government carry out studies “aimed at explaining the notable increase in deaths from [or that were attributed to] COVID-19 from March 2021” in contrast to the previous year despite the increase in people inoculated with the vaccines against COVID-19, which theoretically should have reduced the mortality rate (Montevideo — AFP, 2022). Interestingly, AstraZeneca announced in May 2024 its intention to stop marketing its COVID-19 vaccine in Europe. Their product was originally known by the name of the pharmaceutical company itself, “AstraZeneca”, as well as the “Oxford vaccine”, though its brand name is “Covishield” (La Nación, 2024). In Argentina there are a large number of lawsuits in process (civil and criminal) where adverse events have been reported, not only for AstraZeneca (La voz, 2024) but for all the brands that were administered to the Argentine population (Denuncias Judiciales, 2024).

It is also crucially important to note that, according to studies carried out by the Lazarus working group (Lazarus et al., 2010), adverse effects recorded in the VAERS database of the United States do not represent more than 1% to 10% of total cases, and may represent far fewer than 1%. The underreporting results from many factors: among them is the fact that completing the VAERS forms requires an enormous amount of time on the part of the health personnel in all cases. Another factor is the common absence of knowledge by health clinicians and personnel about the complex dynamics and variety of adverse events produced by prescription drugs in general, and more specifically by the growing number of vaccines pushed upon the public increasingly for a growing diversity of purposes (Garner, 2022; Tuuminen et al. 2023). All of this has been translated into poisoning by prescription drugs and vaccines that have produced a severe deterioration in the health of people impacted by pharmacological products. A dearth of information, maintained by the powerful pharmaceutical lobby that imposes its products on the market, hinders the good judgment

of the health professionals who are discouraged from connecting the many emerging symptoms with the vaccines, and with other drugs and harmful medical procedures that are directly or indirectly involved in causing them (McBean, 1957; Duesberg, 1996; Humphries & Roman Bystryanyk, 2013; Mead et al. 2024a, 2024b).

Added to all this is a near total lack of quality control over the substances called “vaccines” by the regulatory authorities of the various countries (Speicher et al. 2023; Gutschi, 2022). Recently, promoters of the mass use of vaccines (Salmon, et al. 2024) had to acknowledge the lack of post-licensure studies to fully characterize the safety profile of a new vaccine. They allege that pre-licensure clinical trials have limited sample sizes, follow-up durations, and too much population heterogeneity. For all these reasons it is imperative to investigate and determine the components in the COVID-19 vaccines. Because of their “experimental” status, even the most basic safety protocols have been dangerously circumvented. This problem has alerted independent scientists around the world because the declared ingredients were known to be toxic and because more and more evidence began to accrue showing that the manufacturers had not declared all of the ingredients in their products. One of the alarming phenomena observed is magnetization (Aristeo et al., 2021, pp. n20, n51, n99) — a phenomenon that is unaccounted for by the declared ingredients.

In early studies on the content of the COVID-19 vaccines some researchers (Campra, 2021; Clayton, 2022) determined the presence of graphene oxide in Pfizer’s Comirnaty brand using Micro-Raman and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) techniques. Graphene oxide is an undeclared component and toxic to human cells (Ou et al., 2016) The group known as “Club 12” reported using Scanning Electron Microscopy coupled with X-ray Scattering (SEM-EDX) showing the presence of carbon, oxygen, fluorine, sodium, magnesium, potassium, calcium, phosphorus, chromium, sulfur, chlorine, bismuth, nitrogen, manganese, cobalt, nickel, selenium, cadmium, antimony, lead, titanium, vanadium, iron, copper, and silicon in Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna-Lonza, Vaxzevria from AstraZeneca and Janssen from Johnson & Johnson (Aristeo et al., 2021, p. n40). In a first study in Argentina, the “Tango Club”, using SEM-EDX applied to samples from vials of AstraZeneca, Moderna, Sinopharm and Sputnik V found the following chemical elements in them: carbon, oxygen, sodium, aluminum, silicon, calcium, magnesium, chlorine, bismuth and technetium (Aristeo et al., 2021, p. n66).

In 2022, Martín Monteverde, MD, and his collaborators (Anabela Femia, biotechnologist; and Lisandro Lafferriere, also a biotechnologist) detected particles with identical morphology to graphene oxide in a total of 49 vials using optical microscopy. The brands analyzed were CanSino, Pfizer, Sinopharm, AstraZeneca, and Sputnik V. In Japan, metallic contaminants were found in vials of the Moderna vaccine (Swift & O’Donnell, 2021) leading to the recall of three lots, corresponding to 1.63 million doses. In addition, in the same batch of Pfizer FF5357, in several vaccination centers in Japan, in the cities of Sagamihara, Kamakura & Sakai, health system workers detected flocs of strange whitish material in the vials and informed the health authorities so that the affected material would not be injected in the population (Kyodo News, 2021), essentially similar to those found by Lee and Broudy (2024a, 2024b).

In 2022, a group of 60 German scientists, including Helena Krenn, Klaus Retzlaff, Holger Reißner and the late pathologist Arne Burkhardt using SEM-EDX, analyzing vials from AstraZeneca, BioNTech/Pfizer, Moderna, Janssen from Johnson & Johnson, Lubecavax, and Influsplit Tetra, detected the following chemical elements: cerium, potassium, calcium, barium, cobalt, iron, chromium, titanium, gadolinium, aluminum, silicon, sulfur, sodium, magnesium, antimony, copper,

silver, phosphorus, carbon, oxygen, chlorine, and cesium. These studies were submitted to the government authorities in Germany for review (Retzlaff, 2022).

In England, the UNIT group commissioned by EbMCsquared CIC, within the framework of the UNITC-112980 project, carried out an analysis of AstraZeneca, Moderna and Pfizer vials using the Micro-Raman technique, identifying graphene oxide, calcium carbonate with graphene inclusions, iron oxide, in addition to the known toxicant polyethylene glycol (PEG) which is associated with anaphylaxis (Cabanillas et al., 2021). PEG is declared as part of the cationic phospholipids in Pfizer BNT162b and Moderna-1273 (Segalla, 2023), but not AstraZeneca. In addition, they reported particles with different morphologies: ribbons, sheets, nanotubes, nano dots and nano scrolls (EBMCsquared CIC, 2022).

In 2022, Daniel Nagase, MD, from Canada carried out SEM-EDX studies on Moderna and Pfizer vials, detecting carbon, oxygen, sodium, magnesium, aluminum, silicon, sulfur, chlorine, potassium, calcium, palladium and thulium (Nagase, 2022; Wilson, 2022). That same year, in Argentina, fluorescent particles of various sizes and with an identical fluorescence pattern to the graphene oxide standard were detected in vials from Pfizer, CanSino, Sinopharm, and AstraZeneca optical microscopy coupled to fluorescence, this study was carried out in the presence of a public notary (Sangorrín & Diblasi, 2022a). Later, foreign particles with different morphology, size, and quantity that exceed the limit specified for particulate matter in the different pharmacopoeias were detected in these same samples by SEM-EDX. The chemical elements carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, fluorine, sodium, magnesium, copper, bromine, titanium, silicon, aluminum, phosphorus, sulfur, chlorine, potassium, calcium, iron, chromium, manganese, and cesium were detected (Sangorrín & Diblasi, 2022b). Geanina Hagimă, MD in obstetrics and gynecology, from Romania studied Moderna and Pfizer vials by SEM-EDX and found carbon, oxygen, magnesium, aluminum, silicon, titanium, yttrium, and tin (Hagimă, 2023a, 2023b).

As a result of the foregoing studies, by the end of 2023, independent researchers from different parts of the world had detected 24 undeclared chemical elements in the formulas of the COVID-19 vaccines. They contained micro and nanoparticles composed mainly of carbon and oxygen. Likewise, many of these findings agreed with previous studies carried out in Italy, where micro and nanoparticles containing the following were detected by SEM-EDX in 44 of the scheduled or programed vaccines: aluminum, silicon, magnesium, titanium, tungsten, chromium, manganese, nickel, iron, calcium, copper, zirconium, gold, silver, cerium, bromine, potassium, zinc, and lead (Gatti & Montanari, 2017). Also in 2021, Martínez, MD, and his collaborators from Argentina carried out studies by SEM-EDX on 5 scheduled or programed vaccines — specifically, Prevenar pneumococcal from Pfizer, Infanrix Hexa from GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, Viraflu from Sinergium Biotech — and they found: carbon, oxygen, sodium, magnesium, aluminum, silicon, chlorine, potassium, calcium, silver, and bromine (Aristeo et al., 2021; p. n74).

Based on the 24 chemical elements not declared within the components of the formulas by the pharmaceutical companies and detected by SEM-EDX, the objective of this study was to corroborate, detect possible additional chemical elements and to measure them. For this purpose, 13 vials of the COVID-19 vaccines were analyzed. The vials analyzed in this study come from the following pharmaceutical companies or research institutes: AstraZeneca/Oxford, CanSino Biologics, Pfizer/BioNTech, Sinopharm, Moderna, and the Gamaleya National Research Centre for Epidemiology and Microbiology in Russia.

For the analysis and identification of the constituent elements in the contents of the vials, Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) was used. It enables detection,

identification, and quantification of metals and metalloids with high sensitivity and precision. With this methodology, almost 95% of the periodic table can be analysed from trace levels to much higher concentrations (ng/L–mg/L). Its main advantage over other methodologies is its high sensitivity (low detection limits) and simultaneity (having the power to detect multiple elements at the same time in a single analysis). Most of the chemical elements of the periodic table can be determined, except: hydrogen, helium, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, sulfur, fluorine, neon, argon, iodine, bromine, chlorine, astatine, and those with a higher atomic mass than uranium.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Samples

Thirteen vials from different lots of the so-called COVID-19 vaccines were analyzed. The brands, batch numbers and expiration dates are shown in Table 1. The samples were analyzed in duplicate.

**Table 1**  
Samples Analyzed by ICP-MS

Manufacturing Laboratory	Brand	Lot	Expiration date
Astrazeneca/Oxford	Covishield	ABZ3413	Nov-21
Astrazeneca/Oxford	Covishield	210581	Mar-22
CanSino Biologics	Convidecia	NCOV202106034V	Jun-21
Centro Gamaleya y RDIF*	Sputnik V	II-840621	Dec-21
Centro Gamaleya y RDIF*	Sputnik V	II-640821	Feb-22
Centro Gamaleya y RDIF*	Sputnik V	LYM8	Dec-22
Moderna	Spikevax	045C22A	Jan-23
Moderna	Spikevax	940915	Jun-22
Pfizer/BioNTech	Comirnaty	SELY6	Nov-22
Pfizer/BioNTech	Comirnaty	FJ1966	Jan-22
Pfizer/BioNTech	Comirnaty	FK8892	Mar-22
Sinopharm	COVILO	202108B2715	Aug-23
Sinopharm	COVILO	202108B2087	Jul-23

\*(RDIF) Russian Direct Investment Fund ([2024](#)) paid for just the three marked items.

Table 2 shows the components declared by the different manufacturing laboratories, extracted from the prospectuses requested from INAME-ANMAT (National Drug Institute- National Administration of Drugs, Foods and Medical Technology) in Argentina, through a public information request (Maldonado, [2022](#)). It should be noted that the only brands that declare the

**Table 2**  
**Components Declared by the Different Manufacturing Companies**

Components Declared by Manufacturers	Products with Modified mRNA to Produce Spike Protein		Products Using Adenovirus Vector to Produce Spike Protein			Inactivated Viruses
	Pfizer/Comirnaty	Moderna	AstraZeneca	Sputnik V I/II	CanSino Biologics	Sinopharm
Sodium acetate trihydrate		√				
Acetic acid		√				
Recombinant adenovirus			√	√	√	
Water for injections	√	√	√	√	√	
ALC-0159	√					
ALC-0315	√					
Virus antigens						√
Inactivated SARS-CoV-2						
ARNm with modified nucleotides (Elasomeran)		√				
ARNm with modified nucleotides (Tozinameran)	√					
L-histidine hydrochloride monohydrate			√			
Trometamol Hydrochloride		√				
Magnesium chloride				√	√	
Potassium chloride	√					
Sodium chloride	√		√	√	√	√
Cholesterol	√	√				
Potassium dihydrogen phosphate	√					
Sodium dihydrogen phosphate						√
DSPC	√	√				
EDTA			√	√		
Ethanol			√	√		
Disodium hydrogen phosphate	√					√
Glycerin					√	
HEPES					√	
Aluminum hydroxide						√
L-Histidine			√			
Mannitol					√	
PEG 2000-DMG		√				
Polysorbate 80			√	√	√	
Sucrose	√	√	√	√	√	
SM-102		√				
Tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane				√		

*quantities of the excipients* are Sputnik V and Sinopharm (COVILO), unlike Pfizer (Comirnaty), AstraZeneca (Covishield), Moderna, and CanSino which do not declare the *quantities* of excipients: this is a very serious omission at the regulatory level. The regulations for the pharmaceutical industry worldwide are based on GMP (Good Manufacturing Practices), indicating that it is mandatory to declare all the components of the formulas and the corresponding quantities.

## 2.2 Drawing of Samples and Digestion

The studies were carried out at ICYTAC (Institute of Food Science and Technology Córdoba — National University of Córdoba — CONICET) by the technical staff in charge of the equipment (Figure 1). Samples were kept refrigerated between 8°C to 11°C from the moment of receipt until



Figure 1. Here is a view of the laboratory, and of the equipment for Inductively Coupled Plasma — Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), used in this study.

the day of digestion<sup>2</sup>. Each vial was vortexed with circular motion to ensure homogeneity before drawing samples from any vial. Samples were taken with a 5 mL Hamilton syringe (“Gas tight”), a puncture was made in each rubber septum, extracting a sample volume into a previously tared polypropylene tube, recording the mass of the extracted sample on an analytical balance (between

---

<sup>2</sup> **Editor’s Note:** For readers not used to the technical terminology of laboratory chemical analysis, something not dealt with commonly in this journal, the term “digestion” is correctly translated from the Spanish. In analytical chemistry, digestion of solids, e.g., the “self-assembling” structures observed by Lee and Broudy (2024a; 2024b), are broken-down into their component chemical elements. Digestion contrasts with dissolution as used by Lee and Broudy to “incubate” samples.



0.22 and 0.33 g). This procedure was done in duplicate for each sample. Blank tubes were also prepared in duplicate, using the same elements and handled in an identical manner to the samples, except for the sample addition, which was replaced by ultra-pure water (between 0.22 and 0.24 g for each instance). For sample digestion, 1 mL of double-distilled nitric acid was added to each tube, and the blanks were treated in the same way. They were homogenized with circular vortex movements and left to rest for 6 days (room temperature 26-29°C). The digested samples were stored at 10°C in closed polypropylene tubes until dilution. Prior to the measurement, 9 mL of MERCK brand nitric acid solution, lot K54405956 223 in ultra-pure water 1:50 (v/v) was added to each tube in such a way as to achieve an approximate dilution of 1 to 10. Ultrapure water was used (conductivity 0.055  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ , Sartorius brand equipment, Arium 311 model, with a final filter of 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$ ). It should be noted that the presence of the chemical elements, and subsequent identification, is independent of temperature changes, for example, loss of the cold chain.

## 2.3 Equipment and Measurement by ICP-MS

The ICP-MS equipment, Agilent brand, model: 7500cx, with auto sampling, model ASX-500 Series, was used. The plasma, filler, and other gas used was argon quality 5.0, (>99.999% Air Liquide, Argon N50 type: Alphagaz). For some elements, collision with Helium (quality 5.0, Linde) was used. The software used was Agilent G1834B, ChemStation B.04.00.001. Four types of external calibration curves were prepared, covering all the elements to be quantified, from the commercial mixtures.

## 2.4 Data Analysis

After acquisition, the calibration curve was adjusted according to the range of counts per second (CPS) presented by the samples. To achieve greater precision, those points of the curve with CPS values greater than the maximum value of the samples, for each element were discarded. The replicas were measured at two temperatures (the standard of 2°C and 30°C) to determine a correction factor on the measured calibration curves. Each reported sample is the result of subtracting the average value of the procedure blank tubes for each element and is corrected by the digestion dilution factor and the weighed mass. In turn, the replicate includes a correction factor for the measured temperature difference. The reported detection limit was calculated as 3.3 times the sample standard deviation of the measured values of the blanks. The limit of quantification used is highlighted by the bold values in the concentration tables and was calculated as 10 times the sample standard deviation of the same blanks. The hypothetical mass of the digestion procedure in the blanks was the mass of water used to simulate each sample.

## 3. Results

### 3.1 AstraZeneca (Covishield) Vials

Two lots of the AstraZeneca product were studied. In lot ABZ3413, 15 chemical elements were detected, of which 13 are undeclared, and in lot 210581, 21 elements were detected, with 19 undeclared (Table 3).

**Table 3**  
**Chemical Elements Found by ICP-MS in AstraZeneca (Covishield)**  
**Lots: †Declared**

<b>Chemical Elements</b>	<b>Isotopes</b>	<b>AstraZeneca ABZ3413 (µg/L)</b>	<b>AstraZeneca 210581 (µg/L)</b>	
<b>B</b>	Boron	11	20	360
<b>Na†</b>	Sodium	23	1100000	9100000
<b>Mg</b>	Magnesium	24	30000	350000
<b>Al</b>	Aluminum	27	810	
<b>K†</b>	Potassium	39	5100	
<b>Ca</b>	Calcium	40		1800
<b>V</b>	Vanadium	51	2.23	
<b>Cr</b>	Chromium	52	21	44
<b>Fe</b>	Iron	56	82	
<b>Ni</b>	Nickel	58		50
<b>Co</b>	Cobalt	59	0.4	
<b>Cu</b>	Copper	63		34
<b>Ga</b>	Gallium	71	0.1	
<b>As</b>	Arsenic	75	4.4	15
<b>Se</b>	Selenium	79		5.1
<b>Rb</b>	Rubidium	85	1	1.8
<b>Sr</b>	Strontium	88		1.4
<b>Nb</b>	Niobium	93		0.22
<b>Mo</b>	Molybdenum	96		13
<b>Pd</b>	Palladium	105		2
<b>Ba</b>	Barium	137		2.8
<b>Ce</b>	Cerium	140	0.22	
<b>Tb</b>	Terbium	159	0.0037	
<b>Hf</b>	Hafnium	178		37
<b>Pt</b>	Platinum	195		2.2
<b>Au</b>	Gold	197		3.9
<b>Tl</b>	Thallium	204		0.7
<b>Bi</b>	Bismuth	209		12
<b>Th</b>	Thorium	232		9.9
<b>U</b>	Uranium	238	0.022	
<b>Total Elements Detected</b>		<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>	
<b>Sample Analysis Date</b>		<b>3-Nov-23</b>	<b>27-Dec-23</b>	

### 3.2 CanSino Biologics (Convidecia) Vials

One lot of the CanSino brand was analyzed and 22 elements were detected. Of those that were detected, 20 were undeclared by the manufacturer (Table 4).

**Table 4**  
**Chemical Elements Found by ICP-MS in a Batch of CanSino**  
**Biologics (Convidecia): †Declared**

Chemical Elements	Isotopes	CanSino Biologics NCOV202106034V (µg/L)
<b>Li</b>	Lithium	7
<b>B</b>	Boron	11
<b>Na†</b>	Sodium	23
<b>Mg†</b>	Magnesium	24
<b>Ca</b>	Calcium	40
<b>Ti</b>	Titanium	48
<b>Cr</b>	Chromium	52
<b>Ni</b>	Nickel	58
<b>Cu</b>	Copper	63
<b>Ga</b>	Gallium	71
<b>As</b>	Arsenic	75
<b>Se</b>	Selenium	79
<b>Rb</b>	Rubidium	85
<b>Sr</b>	Strontium	88
<b>Nb</b>	Niobium	93
<b>Mo</b>	Molybdenum	96
<b>Pd</b>	Palladium	105
<b>Ba</b>	Barium	137
<b>Hf</b>	Hafnium	178
<b>Au</b>	Gold	197
<b>Tl</b>	Thallium	204
<b>Th</b>	Thorium	232
<b>Total Elements Detected</b>		<b>22</b>
<b>Sample Analysis Date</b>		<b>27-Dec-23</b>

### 3.3 Pfizer (Comirnaty) Vials

Vials from three lots of the Pfizer brand were analyzed. Lot SELY6 was analyzed on two dates, in November 2023, 23 chemical elements were detected, of which 21 elements are undeclared, in January 2024, 25 chemical elements were detected, of which 22 elements are undeclared. In lot FJ1966, 22 elements were detected, of which 19 are undeclared (Table 5), in lot FK8892, 19 elements were detected, of which 16 are undeclared.

**Table 5**  
**Chemical Elements Found by ICP-MS in Pfizer (Comirnaty) Lots: †Declared**

<b>Chemical Elements</b>	<b>Isotopes</b>	<b>Pfizer SELY6* µg/L</b>	<b>Pfizer FJ1966 µg/L</b>	<b>Pfizer FK8892 µg/L</b>	<b>Pfizer SELY6* µg/L</b>
<b>Li</b>	Lithium	7	62		17
<b>B</b>	Boron	11	2200	1400	860
<b>Na†</b>	Sodium	23	4900000	27000000	4700000
<b>Mg</b>	Magnesium	24		54000	
<b>Al</b>	Aluminum	27	61		230000
<b>P†</b>	Phosphorus	31		940000	6700000
<b>K†</b>	Potassium	39	110000	7000000	64000000
<b>Ca</b>	Calcium				2400
<b>Ti</b>	Titanium	48		1000	6200
<b>V</b>	Vanadium	51	9.2		21
<b>Cr</b>	Chromium	52	30	56	57
<b>Mn</b>	Manganese	55			19
<b>Ni</b>	Nickel	58		27	18
<b>Co</b>	Cobalt	59	0.87		1.7
<b>Cu</b>	Copper	63		90	71
<b>Zn</b>	Zinc	65		540	
<b>Ga</b>	Gallium	71	0.35	0.55	2.2
<b>As</b>	Arsenic	75	27	18	22
<b>Se</b>	Selenium	78			7.5
<b>Rb</b>	Rubidium	85	1.5	1.1	1.9
<b>Sr</b>	Strontium	88		2.3	1.4
<b>Nb</b>	Niobium	93		0.6	0.8
<b>Mo</b>	Molybdenum	96		12	
<b>Ru</b>	Ruthenium	101	0.00084		
<b>Rh</b>	Rhodium	103			0.044
<b>Pd</b>	Palladium	105	0.1	0.51	0.8
<b>Sn</b>	Tin	118	0.29		
<b>Sb</b>	Antimony	121			0.43
<b>Ba</b>	Barium	137	69	64	3.3
<b>La</b>	Lanthanum	139	0.56		
<b>Ce</b>	Cerium	140	5.1	1.4	
<b>Pr</b>	Praseodymium	141		0.14	
<b>Sm</b>	Samarium	150			0.025
<b>Eu</b>	Europium	152	0.022		0.025
<b>Gd</b>	Gadolinium	157			0.02
<b>Tb</b>	Terbium	159	0.00024		
<b>Er</b>	Erbium	167	0.062		0.0056
<b>Hf</b>	Hafnium	178		3.1	2
<b>W</b>	Wolfram	183		4.8	
<b>Pt</b>	Platinum	195	0.42		
<b>Pb</b>	Lead	208	45		
<b>U</b>	Uranium	238	0.25		
<b>Total Elements Detected</b>		23	22	19	25
<b>Sample Analysis Date</b>		3-Nov-23	27-Dec-23	27-Dec-23	3-Jan-24

\*This lot was tested twice: once on each of the respective dates.

### 3.4 Moderna (Spikevax) Vials

Two lots of the Moderna brand were analyzed. In lot 940915, 23 elements were detected of which 21 elements are undeclared; in lot 045C22A, 17 elements were detected, 16 undeclared (Table 6). This last lot was quantified again in January 2024, 31 elements were detected, 29 of them undeclared.

**Table 6**  
**Chemical Elements Found by ICP-MS in Moderna Vials: †Declared**

<b>Chemical Elements</b>	<b>Isotopes</b>	<b>Moderna 045C22A* (µg/L)</b>	<b>Moderna 940915 (µg/L)</b>	<b>Moderna 045C22A* (µg/L)</b>
<b>B</b>	Boron	11	320	
<b>Na†</b>	Sodium	23	1300000	180000
<b>Mg</b>	Magnesium	24	170	13000
<b>Al</b>	Aluminum	27		17000
<b>P†</b>	Phosphorus	31	4300000	400000
<b>K</b>	Potassium	39	39000000	36000
<b>Ca</b>	Calcium	40		4500
<b>Ti</b>	Titanium	48	9500	
<b>V</b>	Vanadium	51	1.7	5.2
<b>Cr</b>	Chromium	52	23	46
<b>Mn</b>	Manganese	55		3.6
<b>Fe</b>	Iron	56	270	2400
<b>Ni</b>	Nickel	58		15
<b>Co</b>	Cobalt	59	0.18	2.6
<b>Cu</b>	Copper	63		44
<b>Zn</b>	Zinc	65		4600
<b>Ga</b>	Gallium	71	0.11	1.4
<b>As</b>	Arsenic	75	1.31	20
<b>Se</b>	Selenium	79		3.3
<b>Rb</b>	Rubidium	85		1
<b>Sr</b>	Strontium	88	5.1	0.3
<b>Y</b>	Yttrium	89		0.22
<b>Zr</b>	Zirconium	91		550
<b>Nb</b>	Niobium	93		2.2
<b>Mo</b>	Molybdenum	96		3.9
<b>Ru</b>	Ruthenium	101		0.007
<b>Pd</b>	Palladium	105		2.8
<b>Ag</b>	Silver	107		5.1
<b>Cd</b>	Cadmium	112		3.2
<b>Sn</b>	Tin	118	17	37
<b>Sb</b>	Antimony	121		1.1
<b>Ba</b>	Barium	137		11
<b>La</b>	Lanthanum	139	0.38	0.18
<b>Ce</b>	Cerium	140	0.17	0.27
<b>Pr</b>	Praseodymium	141		0.025
<b>Nd</b>	Neodymium	144		0.14
<b>Tb</b>	Terbium	159	0.011	
<b>Dy</b>	Dysprosium	162	0.019	0.0051
<b>Ho</b>	Holmium	165	0.0045	
<b>Yb</b>	Ytterbium	173	0.0082	
<b>Hf</b>	Hafnium	178		15
<b>W</b>	Tungsten	183		3.3
<b>Au</b>	Gold	197		11
<b>Hg</b>	Mercury	200		1.8
<b>Tl</b>	Thallium	204		13
<b>Pb</b>	Lead	208		0.28
<b>Th</b>	Thorium	232		130
<b>U</b>	Uranium	238	0.023	
<b>Total Elements Detected</b>		<b>17</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Sample Analysis Date</b>		3-Nov-2023	27-Dec-2023	3-Jan-2024

\*This lot was tested twice: once on each of the respective dates.

### 3.6 Sinopharm (COVILO) Vials

Different elements were detected in the two lots analyzed from Sinopharm: 202108B2087 and 202108B2715 COVILO, 25 elements were detected, 22 and 23, respectively, are undeclared elements. The determination of lot 202108B2715 was repeated in January 2024, on this date 17 undeclared elements were found out of the 20 that were detected (Table 7).

**Table 7**  
**Chemical Elements Found by ICP-MS in Sinopharm (COVILO) Lots: †Declared**

Chemical Elements	Isotopes	Sinopharm 202108B2715 (µg/L)*	Sinopharm 202108B2087 (µg/L)	Sinopharm 202108B2715 (µg/L)*
<b>Li</b>	Lithium	7	13	42
<b>B</b>	Boron	11	2000	2500
<b>Na†</b>	Sodium	23	5000000	39000000
<b>Mg</b>	Magnesium	24		38000
<b>Al†</b>	Aluminum	27	205000	3100000
<b>P†</b>	Phosphorus	31		3000000
<b>Ca</b>	Calcium	40		1700
<b>Ti</b>	Titanium	48		3200
<b>V</b>	Vanadium	51	8.15	17
<b>Cr</b>	Chromium	52	28.5	76
<b>Fe</b>	Iron	56	31	
<b>Ni</b>	Nickel	58		20
<b>Co</b>	Cobalt	59	0.43	
<b>Cu</b>	Copper	63		100
<b>Ga</b>	Gallium	71	6.25	5.5
<b>As</b>	Arsenic	75	6.65	9.6
<b>Se</b>	Selenium	79		
<b>Sr</b>	Strontium	88		3.6
<b>Y</b>	Yttrium	89	0.15	
<b>Nb</b>	Niobium	93		0.5
<b>Mo</b>	Molybdenum	96		2.8
<b>Ru</b>	Ruthenium	101	0.00084	
<b>Pd</b>	Palladium	105	0.027	0.4
<b>Sn</b>	Tin	118	1.2	
<b>Sb</b>	Antimony	121		3.2
<b>Te</b>	Tellurium	127	0.4	
<b>Ba</b>	Barium	137	16.5	360
<b>La</b>	Lanthanum	139		3.5
<b>Ce</b>	Cerium	140	1.2	21
<b>Pr</b>	Praseodymium	141		
<b>Nd</b>	Neodymium	144		
<b>Sm</b>	Samarium	150		
<b>Eu</b>	Europium	152	0.019	
<b>Gd</b>	Gadolinium	157		
<b>Tb</b>	Terbium	159	0.0061	
<b>Dy</b>	Dysprosium	162	0.026	
<b>Ho</b>	Holmium	165	0.0056	
<b>Er</b>	Erbium	167	0.039	0.47
<b>Yb</b>	Ytterbium	173	0.015	
<b>Hf</b>	Hafnium	178		2.4
<b>W</b>	Tungsten	183		1.9
<b>Pt</b>	Platinum	195	0.29	
<b>Au</b>	Gold	197		0.7
<b>U</b>	Uranium	238	0.11	
<b>Total Elements</b>		<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Sample Analysis Date</b>		<b>3-Nov-23</b>	<b>27-Dec-23</b>	<b>3-Jan-24</b>

\*Measurements on this lot were taken on both of these dates.

### 3.7 Vials from Gamaleya Center and RDIF, Russia (Sputnik V)

Of the three Sputnik V lots analyzed, lot II-840621 was analyzed on two dates and contained a total of 22 and 27 elements, 19 and 24 respectively are undeclared. Lot LYM8 contained 21 elements, of which 19 are undeclared (Table 8). Finally, lot II-640821 contained 14 elements, with 11 undeclared (Table 8).

**Table 8**  
Chemical Elements Found by ICP-MS in Sputnik Lots: †Declared

Chemical Elements	Isotopes	Sputnik V II-840621 (µg/L)*	Sputnik V I-LYM8 (µg/L)	Sputnik V II-640821 (µg/L)	Sputnik V II-840621 (µg/L)*	
<b>Li</b>	Lithium	7	12			
<b>B</b>	Boron	11	2500	1000	700	
<b>Na†</b>	Sodium	23	4300000	5800000	3000000	
<b>Mg†</b>	Magnesium	24	27000	280000	50000	
<b>Al</b>	Aluminum	27	200		2600	
<b>P†</b>	Phosphorus	31		33000		
<b>K†</b>	Potassium	39	9500		7200	
<b>Ca</b>	Calcium	40		2000	5000	
<b>Ti</b>	Titanium	48			56	
<b>V</b>	Vanadium	51	9.6	26	16	17
<b>Cr</b>	Chromium	52	38	110	95	63
<b>Ni</b>	Nickel	58		33	51	
<b>Co</b>	Cobalt	59				0.37
<b>Cu</b>	Copper	63		160	170	
<b>Zn</b>	Zinc	65		150	140	
<b>Ga</b>	Gallium	71	0.36	0.2	0.33	
<b>As</b>	Arsenic	75	9.6	13	9.2	
<b>Se</b>	Selenium	79			4.1	
<b>Rb</b>	Rubidium	85	2.5	2.4	3.2	
<b>Sr</b>	Strontium	88	4.1	8.1	4.5	
<b>Nb</b>	Niobium	93		1.2	0.2	
<b>Mo</b>	Molybdenum	96			2.8	
<b>Ru</b>	Ruthenium	101				0.017
<b>Pd</b>	Palladium	105	0.065	7.6	0.7	
<b>Cd</b>	Cadmium	112	10			2.3
<b>Sn</b>	Tin	118	88		8.8	
<b>Ba</b>	Barium	137	18	920	21	
<b>Ce</b>	Cerium	140	62	31	30	22
<b>Nd</b>	Neodymium	144				0.051
<b>Gd</b>	Gadolinium	157	0.27	0.3	0.3	0.23
<b>Tb</b>	Terbium	159	0.006			
<b>Ho</b>	Holmium	165	0.0054			
<b>Yb</b>	Ytterbium	173	0.0057			
<b>Hf</b>	Hafnium	178		3.9	5	
<b>Au</b>	Gold	197		1.1	2	0.43
<b>Tl</b>	Thallium	204			0.3	
<b>Pb</b>	Lead	208	24			
<b>Th</b>	Thorium	232		0.6	1.1	
<b>Total Detected</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>14</b>	
<b>Analysis Date</b>		3-Nov-23	27-Dec-23	27-Dec-23	3-Jan-24	

\*This lot was tested twice: once on each of the respective dates.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1 Elemental composition of COVID-19 Vaccines

Our analysis summarized in Tables 9 and 10 shows the presence of 55 undeclared chemical elements in the 17 samples analyzed from the 6 brands of COVID-19 vaccines. Among the undeclared elements all groups of the Periodic Table were represented, except for the noble gases.

Many heavy metals was detected in the analyzed samples and all of those metals are associated with toxic effects on human health. The European Union recognizes 11 toxic elements as heavy metals; arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, chromium, copper, mercury, manganese, nickel, lead, tin, and thallium (Witkowska et al., 2021; Hogan, 2010). All these elements were found in the different lots with different frequencies of occurrence in the sampling: chromium (100%), arsenic (82%) and nickel (59%), followed by 47% cobalt and copper; with 41% tin, 24% thallium, with 18% cadmium, lead and manganese; and finally 6% of the samples contain mercury (Table 9).

The samples contain 12 of the 15 lanthanides of the periodic table of chemical elements. The percentage of the frequency with which they were found is shown in Table 9: lanthanum (35%), cerium (76%), praseodymium (18%), neodymium (18%), samarium (12%), europium (18%), gadolinium (35%), terbium (29%), dysprosium (18%), holmium (18%), erbium (29%), and ytterbium (18%). These elements have luminescent and magnetic properties (Echeverry & Parra, 2019); until now, their safety for use in the human body has not been demonstrated. In fact, the ICH Q3D guide (ICH, 2022) does not mention lanthanides among elemental impurities. It should be noted that this guide does not cover biological products, such as vaccines. Lanthanides are frequently used in the electronics industry and in no case as part of biosensors due to their cytotoxic effects (Voncken, 2016; Balaram, 2019).

To date, if the results obtained by both SEM-EDX (Aristeo et al., 2021, pp. n40, n66; Retzlaff, 2022; Nagase, 2022; Sangorrín & Diblasi, 2022b; Hagimã, 2023a, 2023b) and ICP-MS are taken into account for the brands studied here, a total of 62 undeclared chemical elements have been detected (Table 10).

The two techniques are complementary, and they also have their limitations and differences. In SEM-EDX the sample volume varies between 10-20  $\mu\text{L}$ , only the particles found in that small volume can be observed, while by ICP-MS a sample volume of 200  $\mu\text{L}$  is taken and is more representative. In turn, SEM-EDX can detect carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, silicon, fluorine, chlorine, bromine, and sulfur (which could not be determined by ICP-MS). Although all those elements were present in the samples, only carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen were declared in the manufacturers formulas (Table 2). Hydrogen cannot be detected by either technique.

In the ICP-MS technique, the sample is digested with  $\text{HNO}_3$ , leaving the chemical elements free in the solution, while by SEM-EDX, chemical elements are detected within the micro and nanoparticles found in the sample. One of the advantages of the ICP-MS technique is that chemical elements can be quantified.

In reading across rows three and six in Table 10, we can see that the brands most frequently analyzed by both SEM-EDX and ICP-MS were Pfizer, Moderna, and AstraZeneca. The greatest number of undeclared chemical elements were detected in these brands. By contrast, the fewest undeclared elements were found in the Sputnik V and the CanSino brands but, it must be taken into consideration, that from these two brands, we only drew one sample from each of the vials, so the absolute minimum number of analyses were performed. Obviously, whether more or fewer



**Table 9**  
**Frequency of Elements in the Analyzed Samples and Maximum Concentration**

#	Chemical Elements	Isotopes	Samples with	% Samples with	Max Concentration (ug/L)	
1	Sodium	Na	23	17	100	5800000
2	Chromium	Cr	52	17	100	110
3	Boron	B	11	15	88	2500
4	Gallium	Ga	71	15	88	7.7
5	Arsenic	As	75	14	82	28
6	Strontium	Sr	88	13	76	17
7	Cerium	Ce	140	13	76	62
8	Vanadium	V	51	12	71	26
9	Palladium	Pd	105	12	71	7.6
10	Barium	Ba	137	12	71	920
11	Magnesium	Mg	24	11	65	870000
12	Rubidium	Rb	85	11	65	3.2
13	Aluminum	Al	27	10	59	3100000
14	Nickel	Ni	58	10	59	51
15	Potassium	K	39	9	53	6400000
16	Hafnium	Hf	178	9	53	37
17	Phosphorus	P	31	8	47	6700000
19	Cobalt	Co	59	8	47	2.6
20	Copper	Cu	63	8	47	170
21	Niobium	Nb	93	8	47	2.2
18	Calcium	Ca	40	7	41	5000
27	Tin	Sn	118	7	41	88
22	Gold	Au	197	7	41	3.9
23	Lithium	Li	3	6	35	62
24	Titanium	Ti	48	6	35	9500
25	Selenium	Se	79	6	35	68
26	Molybdenum	Mo	96	6	35	13
28	Lanthanum	La	139	6	35	3.5
29	Gadolinium	Gd	157	6	35	0.3
30	Zinc	Zn	65	5	29	4600
31	Terbium	Tb	159	5	29	0.011
32	Erbium	Er	167	5	29	0.47
33	Thorium	Th	232	5	29	9.9
34	Iron	Fe	56	4	24	2400
37	Thallium	Tl	204	4	24	0.7
38	Uranium	U	238	4	24	0.25
39	Manganese	Mn	55	3	18	19
40	Yttrium	Y	89	3	18	0.22
35	Ruthenium	Ru	101	3	18	0.017
41	Cadmium	Cd	112	3	18	10
43	Praseodymium	Pr	141	3	18	0.14
44	Neodymium	Nd	144	3	18	0.16
46	Europium	Eu	152	3	18	0.025
36	Dysprosium	Dy	162	3	18	0.026
47	Holmium	Ho	165	3	18	0.0056
48	Ytterbium	Yb	173	3	18	0.015
49	Tungsten	W	183	3	18	11
50	Platinum	Pt	195	3	18	2.2
51	Lead	Pb	208	3	18	130
42	Antimony	Sb	121	2	12	3.2
45	Samarium	Sm	150	2	12	0.044
52	Zirconium	Zr	91	1	6	550
53	Rhodium	Rh	103	1	6	0.044
54	Silver	Ag	107	1	6	5.1
55	Tellurium	Te	127	1	6	0.4
56	Mercury	Hg	200	1	6	13
57	Bismuth	Bi	209	1	6	12

**Table 10**  
**Chemical Elements Detected by SEM-EDX and ICP-MS**

<b>Manufacturers</b>	<b>CanSino Biologics</b>	<b>AstraZeneca</b>	<b>Pfizer</b>	<b>Moderna</b>	<b>Sinopharm</b>	<b>Sputnik V I</b>	<b>Sputnik V II</b>
<b>Elements Declared by Manufacturer</b>	C, H, O, N, Cl, Na, Mg, P	C, H, O, N, P, Cl, Na	C, H, O, N, P, Cl, Na, K	C, H, O, N, P, Cl, Na	C, H, O, N, P, Cl, Na, Al	C, H, O, N, P, Cl, Na, Mg	C, H, O, N, P, Cl, Na, Mg
<b>Number of Samples Analyzed by ICP-MS</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Chemical Elements Detected by ICP-MS</b>	Li, B, Na, Mg, Al, Ca, Ti, Cr, Ni, Cu, Ga, As, Se, Rb, Sr, Nb, Mo, Pd, Ba, Hf, Au, Tl, Th	B, Na, Mg, Al, K, Ca, V, Cr, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Ga, As, Se, Rb, Sr, Nb, Mo, Pd, Ba, Ce, Tb, Hf, Pt, Au, Tl, Bi, Th, U	Li, B, Na, Mg, Al, P, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Co, Cu, Ni, Zn, Ga, As, Se, Rb, Sr, Nb, Mo, Ru, Rh, Pd, Sn, Sb, Ba, La, Ce, Pr, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Er, Hf, W, Pt, Pb, U	B, Na, Mg, Al, P, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ga, As, Se, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Mo, Ru, Pd, Ag, Cd, Sn, Sb, Ba, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Yb, Hf, W, Au, Hg, Tl, Pb, Th, U	Li, B, Na, Mg, Al, P, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Ga, As, Se, Sr, Y, Nb, Mo, Ru, Pd, Sn, Sb, Te, Ba, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Yb, Hf, W, Pt, Au, U	B, Na†, Mg†, Ca, V, Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ga, As, Rb, Sr, Nb, Pd, Ba, Ce, Gd, Hf, Au, Th	Li, B, Na, Mg, Al, P, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ga, As, Se, Rb, Sr, Nb, Mo, Ru, Pd, Cd, Sn, Ba, Ce, Nd, Gd, Tb, Ho, Yb, Hf, Pt, Au, Tl, Pb, Th
<b>Total Elements Not Declared but Detected by ICP-MS</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Number of Samples Analyzed by SEM-EDX</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Chemical Elements Detected by SEM-EDX</b>	C, O, F, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, Fe, Cu, Br	C, N, O, F, Na, Al, Si, S, Cl, Ca, Ti, Cr, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Tc, Ag, Sn, Ce, Gd	C, N, O, F, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Cu, Y, Tm, Bi	C, N, O, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, Cr, Fe, Cu, Se, Pd, Cd, Sn, Sb, Cs, Ba, Ce, Pb, Bi	C, O, F, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, K, Ca, Cu	C, O, Na, Cl	Not available
<b>Total Not Declared but Detected by SEM-EDX</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	Not available
<b>Total Not Declared but Found by Both ICP-MS and SEM- EDX</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>36</b>

elements appear depends in part on the number of analyses that could be carried out. It does not depend completely on the brand being sampled. In addition, it is evident that despite having different declared chemical contents, there are undeclared chemical elements in common, such as boron, calcium, titanium, aluminum, arsenic, nickel, chromium, copper, gallium, strontium, niobium, molybdenum, barium, and hafnium in all of the brands.

## 4.2 Structure of the Contents of COVID-19 Vaccines

Sodium and chromium were found in all the samples (100%, Table 9). Sixteen of the undeclared elements were found in the majority of our analyses, including boron and gallium in 88% of them, vanadium, cerium, platinum, and barium in 71% to 76% and the rest are listed in Table 9 numbered from 11 through 57. Given the diversity and the peculiar characteristics of the elements found, along with their notable presence in all brands, it is extremely unlikely, in our judgment, that the findings reported in this paper are due to any fortuitous events such as contamination, or accidental adulteration. We do not believe that accidents owed to chance could occur so consistently and pervasively across the various brands of vaccines that we studied. Whereas the appearance of the daunting diversity of undeclared chemical elements remains strange, the clues seem to be pointing to some kind of worldwide technological experimentation. Because the lanthanides, as we have noted above, are known to be extremely cytotoxic (Voncken, 2016; Balam, 2019), their being discovered in significant quantity, and across the whole array of the products we have studied here, is suggestive of some kind of nanotechnological experimentation along the lines being discussed by Kyrie and Broudy (2022), Lee and Broudy (2024a), Hughes (2024), and others.

All the samples had a certain viscosity and density. None of the content was aqueous, it was viscous and dense, probably, we believe, as a consequence of some quantity of water associated with the samples, as noted during several weeks of incubation by Lee and Broudy. That wetting was probably produced by gelling agents, because they have a high affinity for water. Given all the noted characteristics of the fluids in the vials that were analyzed, their content seems to be changing across time. The contents of all the vials were heterogeneous in unexpected ways. In spite of their seemingly common viscous matrix, even with repeated draws from the same vial, we never found homogeneous content in different samples even when they were drawn from the same vial. This occurred in spite of the fact that before drawing any sample from any of the vials, each vial was always subjected to vortex mixing to ensure homogeneity as much as possible. Also, we supposed that if the original contents in any vial were uniform and homogeneous, the distribution of components would be unaffected by vigorous mixing.

It is common knowledge that well mixed homogeneous solutions always have a certain distribution of the solutes that comprise them, even if the solutes are found in very low concentrations. If that were the case for the vials we examined, all the constituent elements should be present consistently, in each and every sample of solution from a particular vial. They should, in fact, appear in the same proportion and in the same relative quantities. But that is not what we found. Because of the complex, dynamic, and changing contents in all of the brands studied in this work, consistent with the findings of Lee and Broudy (2024a), the observed heterogeneity makes it impossible to quantify the elements precisely, or to extrapolate from any given sample to what is contained in the residual fluid in any given vial. It seems that the presence and relative quantities of the elements found in samples taken at different times vary across phases of self-assembly cycles as observed, for instance, in the careful research of Lee and Broudy. Notably it was praised for its consistency and reliability even by its highly competent critic (Ulrich, 2024).

There is, however, as far as we know, no way to determine the number or duration of growth phases beginning at the nano level in the contents of the vaccines under study as the construction phases progress to the visible microstructures seen in incubated samples and in the blood of recipients of the injectables (Lee et al., 2022; Benzi-Cipelli et al., 2022). It seems likely that the elements in the samples with different distributions are associated in discrete units of the self-assembling microstructures visible under optical microscopes. Apart from such generally known chemical properties as luminescence, electromagnetism, toxicity, etc., our findings cannot provide much useful information about the roles that the widely observed self-assembling microstructures may play. A high priority is to determine the identities, functions, and implications of those structures. What is not in doubt is that they are empirically associated with numerous and extreme adverse reactions, including millions of deaths, evidently caused by the administration of the inocula under study here.

The variable of temperature is of particular interest, because any crucial genetic material intentionally placed in the vials would be well-preserved at temperatures close to 20°C below zero. So, we wonder why Pfizer initially urged a cold chain of custody at no warmer than 80°C below zero. Of course, subjecting genetic material encapsulated in lipid nanoparticles to freeze-thaw cycles causes their denaturation and drastically reduces the capacity of the genetic material to enter the cells as intended (Segalla, 2024). Therefore, some cold chain of custody seems warranted, but unless the purpose was to prevent the formation of complex self-assembling microstructures inside the vials before their contents could possibly be injected into human recipients, the initial setting at 80°C below zero is anomalous.

#### 4.3 Undeclared Nanotechnology Found in COVID-19 Vaccines

In addition to the analysis of the composition, researchers from different parts of the world have been carrying out studies on samples of COVID-19 vaccines and observing the phenomenon of self-assembly of nano and microparticles of orthogonal morphology (Delgado, 2022; Nixon, 2023; Lee and Broudy, 2024a; Zelada, 2024).

The growing presence of nanotechnology-based products in almost all spheres of science, especially in pharmaceutical products, raises concerns regarding their quality, safety, efficacy, and toxicity (Mahamuni-Badiger & Dhanavade, 2023). Most of the available nanomedicines work by interacting at the biomolecular level with cellular components and genetic material, directly and indirectly influencing genomic functions (Ali et al., 2023). Of special interest in the present study is the emergent concept of “nanoarchitecture”, in which self-assembly processes involve a wide range of materials and applications (Devaraj et al., 2021). These include transmembrane channels, peptide conjugates and vesicles, drug delivery, cell culture, supramolecular differentiation, molecular recognition, optics, and energy storage (Ariga et al., 2019). To develop these materials, in many cases, graphene oxide is used, functionalized with chemical elements such as palladium, nickel, tin, gold, cobalt, and copper (Hejazi et al., 2021), which are present in more than 40% of the vaccine samples analyzed in this work (Table 9). Likewise, other undeclared chemical elements known to be used for self-assembling materials (Hejazi et al., 2021) were found in percentages of the samples ranging from 18% to 35%: selenium (35%), titanium (35%), zinc (29%), cadmium (18%), manganese (18%) and platinum (18%).

Given the wide variety of nanomaterials, colloidal quantum dots that provide unique optoelectronic features for neural interfaces (Hu et al., 2024) aiming for neuronal control (Karatum et al., 2022), we find it especially interesting that researchers such as Hu and colleagues have been busy evaluating the toxicity of different types of quantum dots (CdSe, CdTe, MoS<sub>2</sub>, graphene QDs, etc.) at different doses (10-100 ppm, 1-25 nM, etc.) in different cell cultures (BV2, U87, U373, U251, etc.). In recent

years, up-conversion nanoparticles have been developed. These are nanocrystals doped with lanthanide ions ( $\text{Dy}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Er}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Ho}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Lu}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Sm}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Y}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$ ), which are excitable by infrared light and are used in optogenetics to activate or deactivate light-sensitive membrane proteins present in neurons, such as opsins and rhodopsins, corresponding to a neuromodulation mechanism (Chen et al., 2016; Yi et al., 2021). Up-conversion nanoparticles of  $\text{NaGdF}_4$ ,  $\text{NaYF}_4$ ,  $\text{NaErF}_4$  doped with lanthanides, were tested in different neuron populations for optogenetic modulation (Liu et al., 2021). It was determined that  $\text{NaYF}_4$  UCNPs doped with  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Er}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Tm}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Ho}^{3+}$  can be taken up by neurons through clathrin- and caveolae-mediated endocytosis (Zajdel et al., 2023).

## 5. Conclusions

Based on the identification and ranges of the quantities of the chemical elements discovered, and on the physical and chemical characteristics of the content of the vaccines studied, it is of utmost importance to highlight the great similarity that exists between the products of the different brands. The observed differences in chemical elements found in the different brands, we believe, are due to the time lapse between drawing of samples on account of the changing structure of the self-assembling entities in the fluids contained in the vials. We do not believe the observed differences are because of manufacturing processes specific to any given brand or to differences between lots because of stochastic variations in the production processes. Despite the small size and few samples analyzed in this exploratory study, we believe that analysis of a larger number of samples and lots will confirm the trends we have pointed out. We believe that the various and diverse pathologies in the inoculated population are not due to fortuitous problems in manufacturing or distribution, but rather to the technology that seems to be common to all these products which appear to be universally harmful to humans.

## Author Contributions

Marcela Sangorrín and Lorena Diblasi designed the study. All authors analyzed the data. David Nonis established the statistical model of frequency distribution. Martín Monteverde, Marcela Sangorrín and Lorena Diblasi were responsible for sample submission. All authors contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

First, we want to thank the nurses, doctors and biochemists who provided us with the vials from the vaccination centers to carry out this study. The work was paid for by the contributions of citizens interested in knowing the truth about the content of the COVID-19 vaccines. We are especially grateful to Pablo Yunes, MD, and Gabriel Humberto Luti, MD, who performed the analysis of the samples by ICP-MS at ICYTAC-CONICET-UNC. Thanks also go to the reviewers of the *IJVT* who have offered comments and suggestions for improving this presentation. Among them, as we have been informed by the Editor-in-Chief, are Stephanie Seneff, PhD, Tamara Tuuminen, MD, PhD, Daniel Santiago, PharmD, Robert M. Davidson, MD, PhD, James-Lyons Weiler, PhD, Christof Plothe, DO, Brian Hooker, PhD, and Christopher Shaw, PhD, who read and approved this paper for publication. Any errors remaining and the ideas expressed are our own.

## References

- Ali, F., Neha, K., & Parveen, S. (2023). Current regulatory landscape of nanomaterials and nanomedicines: A global perspective. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*, *80*, 104118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jddst.2022.104118>
- Ariga, K., Nishikawa, M., Mori, T., Takeya, J., Shrestha, L. K., & Hill, J. P. (2019). Self-assembly as a key player for materials nanoarchitectonics. *Science and Technology of Advanced Materials*, *20*(1), 51–95. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14686996.2018.1553108>
- Aristeo, L., Avivar, M. C., Campra, P., Chiappano, A., Delgado, R., Fano, W. G., Ferrancani, E. R., Ferrante, A., Martinez, L. M., Nahum, O., Puñales-Moreno, W., Salle, N., Sarlangue, G., Sevillano, J. L., Yahbes, E., & Witt, M. (2021). *Eventos alarmantes en inoculados [Alarming events in injected people]* <https://archive.org/details/eventos-alarmantes-en-inoculados>
- Balaram, V. (2019). Rare earth elements: A review of applications, occurrence, exploration, analysis, recycling, and environmental impact. *Geoscience Frontiers*, *10*(4), 1285–1303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2018.12.005>
- Beattie, K. A. (2021). *Worldwide Bayesian Causal Impact Analysis of Vaccine Administration on Deaths and Cases Associated with COVID-19: A BigData Analysis of 145 Countries*. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356248984\\_Worldwide\\_Bayesian\\_Causal\\_Impact\\_Analysis\\_of\\_Vaccine\\_Administration\\_on\\_Deaths\\_and\\_Cases\\_Associated\\_with\\_COVID-19\\_A\\_BigData\\_Analysis\\_of\\_145\\_Countries](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356248984_Worldwide_Bayesian_Causal_Impact_Analysis_of_Vaccine_Administration_on_Deaths_and_Cases_Associated_with_COVID-19_A_BigData_Analysis_of_145_Countries)
- Benzi Cipelli, R., Giovannini, F., & Pisano, G. (2022). Dark-field microscopic analysis on the blood of 1,006 symptomatic persons after anti-COVID mRNA injections from Pfizer/BioNtech or Moderna. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, *2*(2), 385–444. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtpr.v2i2.47>
- Cabanillas, B., Akdis, C. A., & Novak, N. (2021). COVID-19 vaccine anaphylaxis: IgE, complement or what else? A reply to: “COVID-19 vaccine anaphylaxis: PEG or not?” *Allergy*, *76*(6), 1938–1940. <https://doi.org/10.1111/all.14725>
- Campra, P. (2021, June 28). *Graphene oxide detection in aqueous suspension: Observational study in optical and electron microscopy*. <https://www.docdroid.net/rNgtxyh/microscopia-de-vial-corminaty-dr-campra-firma-e-1-fusionado-pdf>
- Chantra, S., Chaitanuwong, P., Seresirikachorm, K., Brinks, M., Serirat, O., Chamberlain, W., & Ruamviboonsuk, P. (2021). Ocular surface erosion after suspected exposure to evaporated COVID-19 vaccine. *Case Reports in Ophthalmology*, *12*(3), 944–951. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000520500>
- Chen, C., Li, C., & Shi, Z. (2016). Current advances in lanthanide-doped upconversion nanostructures for detection and bioapplication. *Advanced Science*, *3*(10), 1600029. <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201600029>
- Clayton, I. (2022). *Case Briefing Document and Lab Report Ref AUC 101 Report*. [http://ukcitizen2021.org/Case\\_Briefing\\_Document\\_and\\_lab\\_report\\_Ref\\_AUC\\_101\\_Report%20.pdf](http://ukcitizen2021.org/Case_Briefing_Document_and_lab_report_Ref_AUC_101_Report%20.pdf)
- Delgado, M.R. (2022). Identification of possible micro-technology and artificial patterns in Pfizer vaccine using optical microscopy. <https://www.docdroid.net/n36IOrk/identificacion-de-microtecnologia-y-patrones-artificiales-en-vacuna-pdf>
- Denuncias Judiciales. (2024, August 28). *Denuncias vinculadas a vacunas COVID-19 en Argentina [Complaints related to COVID-19 vaccines in Argentina]*. [http://archive.org/details/denuncias-2024\\_202408](http://archive.org/details/denuncias-2024_202408)
- Devaraj, V., Lee, J.-M., Kim, Y.-J., Jeong, H., & Oh, J.-W. (2021). Engineering efficient self-assembled plasmonic nanostructures by configuring metallic nanoparticle’s morphology. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *22*(19), Article 19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms221910595>
- Duesberg, P. (with Internet Archive). (1996). *Inventing the AIDS virus*. Regnery Publishing. <http://archive.org/details/inventingaidsvir00dues>
- Dulcey-Sarmiento, L. A., Caltagirone-Micelli, R., Ruge-Serrano, A. L., Cantillo-Reines, M. D., Hernández-Anaya, P. N., & Henao-Niño, C. O. (2022). Púrpura trombocitopénica posterior a vacunación contra COVID-19

- [Purple thrombocytopenogen after vaccination against COVID-19]. *Acta Médica Colombiana*, 47(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.36104/amc.2022.2268>
- Echeverri, F., & Parra, J. J. (2019). Los lantánidos: Ni tierras ni raras [Lanthanides: neither earth nor rare]. *Revista de La Academia Colombiana de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales*, 43(167), 291–296. [http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?pid=S0370-39082019000200291&script=sci\\_arttext](http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?pid=S0370-39082019000200291&script=sci_arttext)
- Ferguson, N., Laydon, D., Nedjati Gilani, G., Imai, N., Ainslie, K., Baguelin, M., Bhatia, S., Boonyasiri, A., Cucunuba Perez, Z., Cuomo-Dannenburg, G., Dighe, A., Dorigatti, I., Fu, H., Gaythorpe, K., Green, W., Hamlet, A., Hinsley, W., Okell, L., Van Elsland, S. & Ghani, A. (2020). *Report 9: Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID19 mortality and healthcare demand*. Imperial College London. <https://doi.org/10.25561/77482>
- Garner, J. (2022). Health versus disorder, disease, and death: Unvaccinated persons are incommensurably healthier than vaccinated. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 2(2), 670–686. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtpr.v2i2.40>
- Gatti, A. M., & Montanari, S. (2017). New quality-control investigations on vaccines: Micro- and nanocontamination. *International Journal of Vaccines & Vaccination*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.15406/ijvv.2017.04.00072>
- GlobalResearch. (2023, June 25). *Pfizergate: Official government reports prove hundreds of thousands of people are dying every single week due to COVID-19 vaccination*. Global Research. <https://www.globalresearch.ca/pfizergate-official-government-reports-prove-hundreds-thousands-people-dying-every-single-week-due-covid-19-vaccination/5790262>
- Gutsch, M. (Director). (2022, November 2). *Quality issues with mRNA COVID vaccine production* [Video recording]. <https://www.bitchute.com/video/muB0nrznCAC4/>
- Hagimă, G. (2023a). *Electron microscopy investigation of COVID “vaccines” (SEM-EDX) Comirnaty Omicron and Moderna*. <http://archive.org/details/hagima-2024-sem-edx-citas-covid-vaccines-english>
- Hagimă, G. (2023b). *The Moderna and Comirnaty B4-5 vaccines do not contain nitrogen and phosphorus (energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy), so they do not contain mRNA*. *Nanotechnology in COVID vaccines*. Retrieved October 1, 2024, from [this link](#).
- Hejazi, M., Tong, W., Ibbotson, M. R., Praver, S., & Garrett, D. J. (2021). Advances in carbon-based microfiber electrodes for neural interfacing. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2021.658703>
- Hogan, C. M. (2010). *Heavy metal*. *Encyclopedia of Earth. National Council for Science and the Environment*. Eds E. Monosson & C. Cleveland, Washington DC (E. Monosson & C. Cleveland, Eds.).
- Hu, Y., Wang, X., Niu, Y., He, K., & Tang, M. (2024). Application of quantum dots in brain diseases and their neurotoxic mechanism. *Nanoscale Advances*, 6(15), 3733–3746. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4NA00028E>
- Hughes, D. A. (2022). What is in the so-called COVID-19 “vaccines”? Part 1: evidence of a global crime against humanity. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 2(2), 455–586. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtpr.v2i2.52>
- Hughes, D. A. (2024). *“COVID-19,” Psychological Operations, and the War for Technocracy: Volume 1*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41850-1>
- Humphries, S., & Bystrianyuk, R. (2013). *Desvaneciendo Ilusiones Las Enfermedades, las vacunas y la historia olvidada [Dispelling Illusions Diseases, Vaccines and Forgotten History]*. Internet Archive. <http://archive.org/details/desvaneciendo-ilusiones-las-enfermedades>
- ICH (2022) Conferencia Internacional sobre Armonización de Requisitos Técnicos para el Registro de Productos Farmacéuticos para Uso Humano. Guideline for Elemental Impurities Q3D (R2) <https://archive.org/details/q-3-d-r-2-guideline-step-4-2022-0308>

- Karatum, O., Kaleli, H. N., Eren, G. O., Sahin, A., & Nizamoglu, S. (2022). Electrical stimulation of neurons with quantum dots via near-infrared light. *ACS Nano*, 16(5), 8233–8243. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.2c01989>
- Kyodo News. (2021, September 1). *Contaminants found in Pfizer vaccine in cities near Tokyo, Osaka*. Kyodo News+. <https://english.kyodonews.net/news/2021/09/d85bab0a5c9f-contaminants-found-in-pfizer-vaccine-in-2-cities-near-tokyo.html>
- Kyrie, V., & Broudy, D. (2022). Cyborgs R Us: The bio-nano panopticon of injected bodies? *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 2(2), 355–383. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtpr.v2i2.49>
- La Nación. (2024, May 6). *Al país llegaron 24 millones de dosis: Por motivos comerciales, AstraZeneca deja de vender en Europa la vacuna contra el COVID [24 million doses arrived in the country: for commercial reasons, AstraZeneca stops selling the COVID vaccine in Europe]*. LA NACION. <https://www.lanacion.com.ar/sociedad/al-pais-llegaron-24-millones-de-dosis-por-motivos-comerciales-astrazeneca-deja-de-vender-en-europa-nid06052024/>
- La voz. (2024). *La mujer que demandó a Astra Zeneca por \$ 100 millones pidió conocer efectos adversos en el país [The woman who sued Astra Zeneca for \$100 million asked to know about adverse effects in the country]*. <http://archive.org/details/lavoz-2024-la-mujer-que-demando-a-astra-zeneca-por-100-millones-pidio-conocer-ef>
- Lazarus, R., Klompas, M., Bernstein, S., & Harvard Pilgrim Health Care, Inc. (2010). *Electronic Support for Public Health–Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (ESP-VAERS)* (p. 7). Harvard Pilgrim Health Care, Inc. <https://healthit.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/docs/publication/r18hs017045-lazarus-final-report-2011.pdf>
- Lee, Y., & Broudy, D. (2024a). Real-time self-assembly of stereomicroscopically visible artificial constructions in incubated specimens of mRNA products mainly from Pfizer and Moderna: A comprehensive longitudinal study. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(2), 1180–1244. <https://doi.org/10.56098/586k0043>
- Lee, Y., & Broudy, D. (2024b). Response to critics of Lee & Broudy (2024) on the toxicity and self-assembling technology in incubated samples of injectable mRNA materials. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(2), 1244.20-1244.29. <https://doi.org/10.56098/aqgzyc36>
- Lee, Y., Park, S., & Jeon, K.-Y. (2022). Foreign materials in blood samples of recipients of COVID-19 vaccines. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 2(1), 249–265. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtpr.v2i1.37>
- Liu, X., Chen, H., Wang, Y., Si, Y., Zhang, H., Li, X., Zhang, Z., Yan, B., Jiang, S., Wang, F., Weng, S., Xu, W., Zhao, D., Zhang, J., & Zhang, F. (2021). Near-infrared manipulation of multiple neuronal populations via trichromatic upconversion. *Nature Communications*, 12(1), 5662. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25993-7>
- Mahamuni-Badiger, P., & Dhanavade, M. J. (2023). Challenges and toxicity assessment of inorganic nanomaterials in biomedical applications: Current status and future roadmaps. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*, 87, 104806. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jddst.2023.104806>
- Maldonado, M. E. (2022). *NO-2022-59683154-APN-INAME#ANMAT, Referencia: Respuesta A EX-2022-50699694-APN-ANMAT#MS de Matías Gómez (director Nacional), Solicitante: María Eugenia Maldonado [NO-2022-59683154-APN-INAME#ANMAT, Reference: Response A EX-2022-50699694-APN-ANMAT#MS of Matías Gómez (National Director), Applicant: María Eugenia Maldonado]*. <http://archive.org/details/maldonado-2022-respuesta-anmat-composicion>
- Martínez, S. B., Farjas, E.M. & Lázaro, C.P. (2022) Worsening of immune thrombocytopenic purpura in SARS-CoV-2 vaccinated patients. *Medicina Clínica* 158, pág. 496–500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medcli.2021.09.011>
- McBean, E. (1957). *The Poisoned Needle*. [http://archive.org/details/the\\_poisoned\\_needle\\_mcbean](http://archive.org/details/the_poisoned_needle_mcbean)
- McKean, N., & Chircop, C. (2021). Guillain-Barré syndrome after COVID-19 vaccination. *BMJ Case Reports CP*, 14(7), e244125. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2021-244125>



- Mead, M. N., Seneff, S., Wolfinger, R., Rose, J., Denhaerynck, K., Kirsch, S., & McCullough, P. A. (2024a). COVID-19 Modified mRNA “Vaccines”: Lessons Learned from Clinical Trials, Mass Vaccination, and the Bio-Pharmaceutical Complex, Part 1. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(2), 1112–1178. <https://doi.org/10.56098/fdrasy50>
- Mead, M. N., Seneff, S., Rose, J., Wolfinger, R., McCullough, P. A., & Hulscher, N. (2024b). COVID-19 Modified mRNA “Vaccines”: Lessons Learned from Clinical Trials, Mass Vaccination, and the Bio-Pharmaceutical Complex, Part 2. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(2), 1275–1344. <https://doi.org/10.56098/w66wjg87>
- Monteverde, M., Femia, A., & Lafferreire, L. (2022, January). *Viales al microscopio [Vials under the microscope]*. [https://documentos.foroargentina.ar/estudios-de-viales/2022-01\\_viales-al-microscopio\\_dr-martin-monteverde\\_vertical.pdf](https://documentos.foroargentina.ar/estudios-de-viales/2022-01_viales-al-microscopio_dr-martin-monteverde_vertical.pdf)
- Montevideo (AFP). (2022, July 3). *Justicia Uruguay pide al gobierno y a Pfizer aclarar componentes de vacunas antiCOVID [Uruguayan Justice asks the government and Pfizer to clarify components of anti-COVID vaccines]*. France 24. <https://www.france24.com/es/minuto-a-minuto/20220703-justicia-uruguay-pide-al-gobierno-y-a-pfizer-aclarar-componentes-de-vacunas-anticovid>
- Nagase, D., Risdon, M., & Western Standard (Directors). (2022, April 18). *WATCH: Dr. Nagase reviews images from COVID vaccines, shows no “elements of life” [Video recording]*. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FY8ZIGQfQvs>
- Nixon, D. (2023) Estudios de microscopía de campo oscuro de la tecnología corporal en personas vacunadas contra COVID-19 [Dark-field microscopic studies in the bodies of COVID-19 vaccine recipients]. <https://drdavidnixon.com/>
- Nyström, S., & Hammarström, P. (2022). Amyloidogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 144(20), 8945–8950. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c03925>
- Open VAERS. (2024). *VAERS COVID Vaccine Adverse Event Reports*. OpenVAERS. <https://openvaers.com/>
- Ou, L., Song, B., Liang, H., Liu, J., Feng, X., Deng, B., Sun, T., & Shao, L. (2016). Toxicity of graphene-family nanoparticles: A general review of the origins and mechanisms. *Particle and Fibre Toxicology*, 13(1), 57. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12989-016-0168-y>
- Page, D., Zhu, N., Sawler, D., Sun, H. W., Turley, E., Pai, M., & Wu, C. (2021). Vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia presenting with normal platelet count. *Research and Practice in Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, 5(6). <https://doi.org/10.1002/rth2.12596>
- Pérez, J. C., Moret-Chalmin, C., & Montagnier, L. (2023). Emergence of a new Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease: 26 cases of the human version of Mad-Cow Disease, a few days after a COVID-19 injection. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(1), 727–770. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtpr.v3i1.66>
- Rancourt, D. G., Baudin, M., Hickey, J., & Mercier, J. (2023a, September 17). *COVID-19 vaccine-associated mortality in the Southern Hemisphere*. CORRELATION: Research in the Public Interest. <https://correlation-canada.org/covid-19-vaccine-associated-mortality-in-the-southern-hemisphere/>
- Rancourt, D., Baudin, M., & Mercier, J. (Directors). (2023b, November 19). *Staggering 17 million deaths after Covid jab rollouts [Video recording]*. <https://www.bitchute.com/video/jjg7E2ajQN2/>
- Retzlaff, K. (2022, August 30). German working group for covid vaccine analysis—Summary of preliminary findings [Substack newsletter]. *Guerrilla Transcripts*. <https://guerrillatranscripts.substack.com/p/german-working-group-for-covid-vaccine>
- Russian Direct Investment Fund. (2024). In *Wikipedia*. [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Russian\\_Direct\\_Investment\\_Fund&oldid=1245429035](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Russian_Direct_Investment_Fund&oldid=1245429035)
- Salmon, D. A., Orenstein, W. A., Plotkin, S. A., & Chen, R. T. (2024). Funding Postauthorization Vaccine-Safety Science. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 391(2), 102–105. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2402379>

- Sangorrín, M., & Diblasi, L. (2022a). *Análisis del contenido de vacunas COVID por microscopio electrónico (SEM EDX)* [Analysis of COVID vaccine content by electron microscope (SEM EDX)]. [https://www.academia.edu/93566918/An%C3%A1lisis\\_del\\_contenido\\_de\\_vacunas\\_COVID\\_por\\_microscopio\\_electr%C3%B3nico\\_SEM\\_EDX](https://www.academia.edu/93566918/An%C3%A1lisis_del_contenido_de_vacunas_COVID_por_microscopio_electr%C3%B3nico_SEM_EDX)
- Sangorrín, M., & Diblasi, L. (2022b). *Análisis del contenido de viales de vacunas COVID19 en microscopio de fluorescencia* [Report: Analysis of vials of the so-called “vaccines” against COVID-19 disease, using fluorescence microscopy]. [https://www.academia.edu/93566189/An%C3%A1lisis\\_del\\_contenido\\_de\\_viales\\_de\\_vacunas\\_COVID19\\_en\\_microscopio\\_de\\_fluorescencia](https://www.academia.edu/93566189/An%C3%A1lisis_del_contenido_de_viales_de_vacunas_COVID19_en_microscopio_de_fluorescencia)
- Santiago, D., & Oller, J. W. (2023). Abnormal clots and all-cause mortality during the pandemic experiment: Five doses of COVID-19 vaccine are evidently lethal to nearly all Medicare participants. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(1), 847–890. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtp.v3i1.73>
- Sarmiento, L. A., Raimondo C., Serrano, A. L., Cantillo-Reines, M. D., Hernández-Anaya, P. & Ormandy, C. (2022) Thrombocytopenic purpura following COVID-19, *Acta Med Colomb* 2022; 47. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36104/amc.2022.2268>
- Schwab, C., Domke, L. M., Hartmann, L., Stenzinger, A., Longerich, T., & Schirmacher, P. (2023). Autopsy-based histopathological characterization of myocarditis after anti-SARS-CoV-2-vaccination. *Clinical Research in Cardiology*, 112(3), 431–440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00392-022-02129-5>
- Segalla, G. (2023). Apparent cytotoxicity and intrinsic cytotoxicity of lipid nanomaterials contained in a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(1), 957–972. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtp.v3i1.84>
- Segalla, G. (2024). Adjuvant activity and toxicological risks of lipid nanoparticles contained in the COVID-19 “mRNA vaccines.” *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(2), 1085–1102. <https://doi.org/10.56098/z1ydjm29>
- Seneff, S., & Nigh, G. (2021). Worse than the disease? Reviewing some possible unintended consequences of the mRNA vaccines against COVID-19. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 2(1), 38–79. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtp.v2i1.23>
- Servín de la Mora Godínez, L. F. (2023a). *Desenmascarando el fraude de la pandemia COVID-19 en México y el mundo: Primer Reporte Científico Oficial COMCIENCIA* [Unmasking the COVID-19 Pandemic Fraud in Mexico and the World: First Official Scientific Report COMCIENCIA].
- Servín de la Mora Godínez, L. F. (2023b). *Weaponizing the Medical Establishment*. <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/197284520-weaponizing-the-medical-establishment>
- Simpson, C. R., Shi, T., Vasileiou, E., Katikireddi, S. V., Kerr, S., Moore, E., McCowan, C., Agrawal, U., Shah, S. A., Ritchie, L. D., Murray, J., Pan, J., Bradley, D. T., Stock, S. J., Wood, R., Chuter, A., Beggs, J., Stagg, H. R., Joy, M., Sheikh, A. (2021). First-dose ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccines and thrombocytopenic, thromboembolic and hemorrhagic events in Scotland. *Nature Medicine*, 27(7), 1290–1297. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01408-4>
- Speicher, D., Rose, J., Gutschi, L., Wiseman, D., & McKernan, K. (2023). *DNA fragments detected in monovalent and bivalent Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna modRNA COVID-19 vaccines from Ontario, Canada: Exploratory dose response relationship with serious adverse events*. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374870815\\_Speicher\\_DJ\\_et\\_al\\_DNA\\_fragments\\_detected\\_in\\_COVID-19\\_vaccines\\_in\\_Canada\\_DNA\\_fragments\\_detected\\_in\\_monovalent\\_and\\_bivalent/figures](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374870815_Speicher_DJ_et_al_DNA_fragments_detected_in_COVID-19_vaccines_in_Canada_DNA_fragments_detected_in_monovalent_and_bivalent/figures)
- Swift, R., & O'Donnell, C. (2021, September 1). *Moderna retirará dosis de vacunas COVID-19 en Japón por contaminantes de acero inoxidable* [Moderna to recall COVID-19 doses in Japan after stainless steel contaminants found]. euronews. <https://es.euronews.com/2021/09/01/salud-coronavirus-jap-n-moderna>
- Tuuminen, T., Suominen, P. J., & Guldbrandsen, T. A. (2023). A Finnish survey of adverse effects of COVID-19 injectables and the functionality of the medical system. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(1), 1009–1025. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtp.v3i1.87>

- Ulrich, A. S. (2024). No Nanobots in Vaccines — Just Lipids on the Loose: Commentary on Lee and Broudy (2024), “Real-Time Self-Assembly of Stereomicroscopically Visible Artificial Constructs in Incubated Specimens of mRNA Products Mainly from Pfizer and Moderna: A Comprehensive Longitudinal Study.” *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(2), 1244.1-1244.10. <https://doi.org/10.56098/7hsjff81>
- Voncken, J. H. L. (2016). The rare earth elements—a special group of metals. In J. H. L. Voncken (Ed.), *The Rare Earth Elements: An Introduction* (pp. 1–13). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26809-5\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26809-5_1)
- Wilson, R. (2022, May 27). *Canadian Researchers Find Carbon Nanotech and Thulium in Moderna and Pfizer Covid Injections. The Expose*. <https://expose-news.com/2022/05/27/carbon-nanotech-and-thulium-in-covid-injections/>
- Witkowska, D., Slowik, J., & Chilicka, K. (2021). Heavy metals and human health: Possible exposure pathways and the competition for protein binding sites. *Molecules*, 26(19), Article 19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26196060>
- Yi, Z., All, A. H., & Liu, X. (2021). Upconversion nanoparticle-mediated optogenetics. In H. Yawo, H. Kandori, A. Koizumi, & R. Kageyama (Eds.), *Optogenetics: Light-Sensing Proteins and Their Applications in Neuroscience and Beyond* (pp. 641–657). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8763-4\\_44](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8763-4_44)
- Zajdel, K., Janowska, J., Frontczak-Baniewicz, M., Sypecka, J., & Sikora, B. (2023). Upconverting nanoparticles as a new bio-imaging strategy—Investigating intracellular trafficking of endogenous processes in neural tissue. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 24(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24021122>
- Zelada, L. (2024) Evidencia de la contaminación de las vacunas, anestésicos, inyectables. Canal de Telegram: Evidencia. T.me/evidencia.

## Legal Disclaimer

The information on the website and in the **IJVTPR** is not intended as a diagnosis, recommended treatment, prevention, or cure for any human condition or medical procedure that may be referred to in any way. Users and readers who may be parents, guardians, caregivers, clinicians, or relatives of persons impacted by any of the morbid conditions, procedures, or protocols that may be referred to, must use their own judgment concerning specific applications. The contributing authors, editors, and persons associated in any capacity with the website and/or with the journal disclaim any liability or responsibility to any person or entity for any harm, financial loss, physical injury, or other penalty that may stem from any use or application in any context of information, conclusions, research findings, opinions, errors, or any statements found on the website or in the **IJVTPR**. The material presented is freely offered to all users who may take an interest in examining it, but how they may choose to apply any part of it, is the sole responsibility of the viewer/user. If material is quoted or reprinted, users are asked to give credit to the source/author, and to conform to the non-commercial, no derivatives, requirements of the [Creative Commons License 4.0 NC ND](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) or to any other license that takes precedence over it.